

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 08/897,441 | 07/21/97 | FIBI | | M | 5552.0953-04 |
| | | LIMITOZOGOE | \neg | EXAMINER | |
| HM12/0925 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT | | | | CANELL | A,K |
| AND DUNNER | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| FRANKLIN SQ 1300 I ST N WASHINGTON | W SUITE 700 | | | 1642 DATE WAILED: | 09/25/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

17

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/897,441

Applicant(s)

Fibi et al

Examiner

Karen Canella

Art Unit 1642

| - Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | T TO EXPIRE3 months MONTH(S) FROM | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep | | | | |
| be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This acti | on is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| • | is/are pending in the applica | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from considera | | | |
| 5) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>9, 14-16, and 22</u> | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>5-7, 10-12, 17-21, and 23</u> | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claims | are subject to restriction and/or election requirem | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a | re objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a∏ approved b)□disapproved. | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prio | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of: | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have | been received. | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | been received in Application No | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr | iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 08/897,441 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

Response to Arguments

- 1. Claims 5-7, 9-12 and 14-23 are pending and under consideration.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- 3.. The rejection of claims 6, 7, 11 and 17-21 is re-made for the reasons of record as stated in Paper No. 12, as the examiner inadvertently withdrew this rejection in Paper No. 16.
- 4. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sytkowski et al (Journal of Biological Chemistry, 1987, Vol. 262, pp. 1161-1165). Claim 17 is drawn to an anti-erythropoietic antibody directed against epitopes that bind to the EPO receptor. Claim 18 embodies an antibody which neutralizes the biological activity of EPO. Claim 19 embodies a monoclonal antibody. Sytkowski et al disclose neutralizing antibodies to EPO, anti-peptide 99-118 and anti-peptide 11-129, that bind to the receptor binding domain of EPO (page 1165, last paragraph).
- 5. The rejection of claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 17, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin (US 4,703,008). Claim 5 is drawn in part to a method of using an EPO peptide for the preparation of an antibody, wherein the EPO peptide consists essentially of a peptide of less than the complete EPO protein, the peptide selected from the group consisting of amino acid positions 152 to 166 (P2/1). Claim 6 is drawn to an antibody directed against an EPO peptide, wherein said EPO peptide consists essentially of a peptide less than the complete EPO protein, said peptide having the sequence of amino acid positions 152 to 166 (P2/1). Claims 11 and 12, claim the same antibody for the detection of EPO. Claim 20 further embodies a diagnostic aid for the detection of EPO. Claim 23 embodies an anti-EPO receptor as in claim 6,

Application/Control Number: 08/897,441 Page 3

Art Unit: 1642

directed against EPO epitopes which bind the EPO receptor. As the claims 5, 6, 11 and 12 are drawn to peptides less than the complete EPO protein consisting essentially of amino acids 152 to 166 of the EPO protein, and Lin et al has disclosed the polyclonal antibodies produced by immunization of the peptide defined by 144-166 of EPO which produces neutralizing polyclonal antibodies which bind to EPO, the antibodies disclosed by Lin et al are the same as those claimed.

- 6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sytkowski et al (Journal of Biological Chemistry, 1987, Vol. 262, pp. 1161-1165) in view of Yanagawa et al (Blood, 1984, Vol. 64, pp. 357-364). Claim 10 is drawn to a method of using the antibody of claim 6 for the purification of EPO. Sytkowski et al teaches the antibody of claim 6 for the reasons set forth in paragraph 4, supra. Sytkowski et al does not disclose a method of purification of EPO based on the use of said antibody. Yanagawa et al teach a method of purifying human erythropoietin from urine by using separations methods based on monoclonal antibodies to human erythropoietin. It would have been *prima facia* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to substitute the taught by Sytkowski et al in the method of purification of erythropoietin. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so with a reasonable expectation of success by the teachings of Yanagawa et al on the successful purification of human erythropoietin by the use of immunoadsorbent chromatography using monoclonal antibodies which specifically bind to erythropoietin.
- 7. Amendments of <u>all</u> the claims to read on a peptide "consisting of" the instant disclosed epitopes would obviate the prior art rejections.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Canella whose telephone number is (703) 308-8362. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 08/897,441

Art Unit: 1642

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen A. Canella, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Group 1642

September 23, 2001

SUPERVISION C CAPITA
SUPERVISION SUPERVISION CENTER 1600